

Non-Executive Report of the: [Health Scrutiny Committee] 15/09/2016	 TOWER HAMLETS
Report of: [Melanie Clay, Corporate Director, Law Probity & Governance]	Classification: Unrestricted
Health Scrutiny Work Programme 2016/17	

Originating Officer(s)	Daniel Kerr, SPP Officer, LPG
Wards affected	[All wards]

Summary

This report proposes a work programme for the Health Scrutiny Committee (HSC) for 2016/17.

The report sets out the process used to develop the work programme and suggests a number of ways in which HSC may wish to approach the workload.

Appendix 1 sets out the schedule for items across the HSC meetings for 2016/2017, along with the topics for 'Scrutiny Review' and site visits to be undertaken.

Recommendations:

The Health Scrutiny Sub Committee is recommended to:

1. Consider and agree on the draft work programme items and schedule for HSC.
2. Agree options for managing the HSC work programme

1. REASONS FOR THE DECISIONS

- 1.1 The Health Scrutiny Committee needs to agree that the prepared work programme is the most effective way to scrutinise local health and social care issues or suggest amendments of how the committee could better scrutinise LBTH health and social care services.

2. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 2.1 There are no alternative options.

3. DETAILS OF REPORT

- 3.1 This report details the work programme for the Health Scrutiny Committee for 2016/17. The Health Scrutiny Committee is taking a thematic approach to its work programme and focusing on the theme of access to health and social care. Additionally this report details the role of Health Scrutiny and its responsibility as part of a Joint Health Scrutiny Committee across North East London.

4. COMMENTS OF THE CHIEF FINANCE OFFICER

- 4.1 There are no current financial implications to this draft work programme. Recommendations from future reviews will be reported separately and any financial implications arising will be considered in the context of the outcomes based 2017/18 to 2019/20 medium term financial strategy.

5. LEGAL COMMENTS

- 5.1 There are no current legal implications to this draft work programme.
- 5.2 Any recommendations from future reviews will be reported separately and any legal implications arising will be considered in those reports.

6. ONE TOWER HAMLETS CONSIDERATIONS

- 6.1 This report forms part of the HSCs scrutiny of the theme of access to health and social care services. This theme was chosen in order to identify where there are areas of inequality and poorer health outcomes, and make recommendations to improve these gaps. It allows for scrutiny of all community groups to recognise what the key barriers are for accessing health and social care services in LBTH.

7. BEST VALUE (BV) IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1 There are no best value implications for this report.

8. SUSTAINABLE ACTION FOR A GREENER ENVIRONMENT

8.1 There are no sustainable actions for a greener environment in this report.

9. RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

9.1 There are no risk management implications for this report.

10. CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPLICATIONS

10.1 There are no crime and disorder reduction implications for this report.

Linked Reports, Appendices and Background Documents

Linked Report

- NONE

Appendices

- State NONE if none [and state EXEMPT if necessary].

Local Government Act, 1972 Section 100D (As amended)

List of “Background Papers” used in the preparation of this report

List any background documents not already in the public domain including officer contact information.

- NONE

Officer contact details for documents:

- Daniel Kerr